UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOLAN TURNER		MID
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C07-04377 EMC MHP
v THOR DAHL CON		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
·	Defendant(s).	
	report that they have met and con ation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8	ferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:		
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)		
appreciably mor	e likely to meet their needs than a	nt conference with a Magistrate Judge is my other form of ADR, must participate in an n. They must instead file a Notice of Need for 8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private	Process: rivate ADR (please identify proce	ess and provider)
Private mediation before lack McGlynn or other local mediator the parties will jointly select,		
✓ tł	e to hold the ADR session by: he presumptive deadline (The dea eferring the case to an ADR process	dline is 90 days from the date of the order ess unless otherwise ordered.)
o	ther requested deadline	$\frac{1}{1000000}$
Dated: [[[7]	07	Patrick B. Streb Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 11/7/07		Katharine Essicle Shavely Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation x Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session x90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/20/2007

